REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-10 were examined and reported in the Office Action. Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-10 are rejected. Claims 1 and 6 are amended. Claims 3 and 8 are canceled. Claims 1, 4-6, 8-10 remain.

Applicants request reconsideration of the application in view of the following remarks.

I. 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

It is asserted in the Office Action that claims 1, 3-6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a semi-crystalline structure when boron (B) is present in the carbon shell, does not reasonably provide enablement for such a semi-crystalline structure for the other metal components. Applicant has amended claims 1 and 6 to overcome the 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph rejection.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph rejections for claims 1, 3-6 and 8-10 are respectfully requested.

II. Claims Not Rejected Over Prior Art

Applicant notes that claims 1 and 6 are amended to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejections and are not rejected over prior art.

Applicant respectfully asserts that claims 1, 4-6 and 9-10, as they now stands, are allowable for the reasons given above.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all claims now pending, namely 1, 4-6 and 9-10, patentably define the subject invention over the prior art of record and are in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited at the earliest possible date.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR, & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: February 16, 2006

12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90025 (310) 207-3800 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Steven Laut, Reg. No. 47,736

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 on Februar 16, 2006.

Tean Syoboda